

# Dickinson County Endowment Fund Grant Scoring Rubric 2021

Complete application YES                  NO	<i>Applicant is a charitable, non-profit organization serving Dickinson County</i> YES                  NO	Application has circled one or more of the DCEF prioritized needs, including:		
Points Given →	5 points		0 points	
<b>1. Project Summary</b> [5 Points]	Project is clearly summarized with specific details in 2-3 sentences		Project not well summarized.	
Points Given →	10-8 points	7-4 points	0-3 points	
<b>2. Need in Dickinson County</b> [10 Points]	Need is identified well Adequate local data specific to Dickinson County is provided to support the project Why DCEF dollars are needed is well articulated Benefits to the community are clearly stated	Need is somewhat identified Some local data specific to Dickinson County is provided to support the project Why DCEF dollars are needed is fairly articulated Benefits to the community are somewhat stated	Need <b>not</b> defined Little local data provided \$\$ <b>not</b> articulated Benefits not stated	
<b>3. Ability to carry out project</b> [10 Points]	Exhibits excellent record of effective service Applicant is prepared to take on project Evidence is stated which indicated the applicant is qualified to take on project Applicant exhibits sufficient volunteers, funding and leadership to complete a successful project	Exhibits reasonable record of effective service Applicant is fairly prepared to take on project Evidence is stated which indicated the applicant is qualified to take on project Applicant exhibits some volunteers, funding and leadership to complete a successful project	No record or evidence of service No record or evidence of volunteers and/or leadership	
<b>4. Partnerships</b> [10 Points]	Additional community support (volunteers/in-kind contributions) are included and described Adequate effort shown to seek broader support Is not a duplication of services already existing Collaborating with partners on community need.	Additional community support is included and somewhat described Some effort shown to seek broader support Some duplication of services already existing Some collaborating with partners on local need.	<b>No</b> community support and little effort to seek it Definite duplication of services	
Points Given →	20-13 points	12-5 points	0-4 points	
<b>5. Goals and Objectives</b> [20 Points]	Goals are articulated by a detailed timeline Goals are specific and meet the identified need Goals are definitely measurable Goals and objectives are very realistic	Goals are articulated by a reasonable timeline Goals are fairly specific to meet the need Goals are somewhat measurable Goals and objectives are realistic	No timeline Goals <b>not</b> specific <b>Not</b> measurable Not realistic	
Points Given →	5-3 points	2-1 points	0 points	
<b>6. Evaluation</b> [5 Points]	Objectives/outcomes are definitely measurable Success is fully defined	Objectives/outcomes are somewhat measurable Success is fairly defined	<b>Not</b> measurable <b>Not</b> described	

# Dickinson County Endowment Fund Grant Scoring Rubric 2021

Points Given →	10-8 points	7-4 points	0-3 points	
<b>7. Matching Funds</b> <i>[10 Points]</i>	Other funding sources have been/being sought Other sources are listed and are described	<i>Few</i> funding sources have been/being sought Other sources are listed and fairly described	<b>No</b> other funding sources sought	
<b>8. One-time or Repeat Project</b> <i>[10 Points]</i>	The project is clearly defined as one-time or repeat project/event. If one-time, adequate evidence of support from the non-profit/partners If repeat, there is an adequate explanation and evidence supporting reasons to repeat it	The project is somewhat defined as one-time or repeat project/event. If one-time, fair evidence of support is provided If repeat, there is some explanation and evidence supporting reasons to repeat it	Project <b>not</b> defined <b>No</b> evidence of self-support or reason for repeat	
Points Given →	5-3 points	2-1 points	0 points	
<b>9. Partial Funding</b> <i>[5 Points]</i>	Goals and objectives have been modified to accommodate partial funding How DCEF funding impacts the project has been clearly articulated The project has been clearly prioritized	Goals and objectives have fairly been modified to accommodate partial funding How DCEF funding impacts the project has been somewhat articulated The project has been somewhat prioritized	No modification for partial funding	
<b>10. Project Budget</b> <i>[5 Points]</i>	Detailed project expense budget was included The DCEF application budget <b>form</b> was used The proportion of DCEF funding was stated in relationship to the total budget of the project The budget seems very realistic for the project to be delivered by applicant	An expense budget for the project was included The DCEF application budget <b>form</b> was <b>not</b> used The proportion of DCEF funding was stated in relationship to the total budget of the project The budget seems somewhat realistic for project to be delivered by applicant	<b>No</b> project budget was included An inadequate budget explanation was included	
Points Given →	10-8 points	7-4 points	0-3 points	
<b>11. Budget Narrative</b> <i>[10 Points]</i>	A detailed budget narrative is included Project/program cost was clearly defined The requested funding is within the scope of DCEF funding.	A fairly detailed budget narrative is included Project/program cost somewhat clearly defined The requested funding is somewhat within the scope of DCEF funding.	<b>No</b> budget narrative present Request not within the DCEF scope.	
		<b>Total Points</b>	<i>[100 possible]</i>	